On April 13, 2004, the Panel transferred 14 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. Since that time, 74 additional actions have been transferred to the Southern District of New York. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Jed S. Rakoff.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact which are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of New York and assigned to Judge Rakoff.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Southern District of New York for the reasons stated in the order of April 13, 2004, ____ F.Supp. 2d____ (J.P.M.L. 2004), and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Jed S. Rakoff.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed fifteen (15) days from the entry thereof and if any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this fifteen (15) day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

JUN 3 0 2004

CLERK'S OFFICE
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Michael J. Beck Michael J. Beck Clerk of the Panel

A CERTIFIED COPY,

J. MICHAEL MCMAHON,

CLERK

DEPUTY OFFICE

PAGE 1 of 2

SCHEDULE CTO-2 - TAG ALONG ACTIONS DOCKET NO. 1598 IN RE EPHEDRA PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

DISTRICT DIV. C.A.#

ALABAMA MIDDLE

ALM 1 04-274 Joy Christine Padgett v. NVE Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.

CALIFORNIA NORTHERN

CAN 5 03-634 Allen Tang v. Muscletech Research & Development, Inc., et al. Opposed 6/29/04

ILLINOIS SOUTHERN

ILS 3 03-384 Elizabeth Wigginton, et al. v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al.

KANSAS

KS 2 04-2010 Margaret Chalmers v. Rexall Sundown, Inc., et al.
 KS 5 03-4153 Terrice Hale v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al.

KENTUCKY EASTERN

KYE 5 04-26 Melissa Cornett v. NVE Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. Opposed 6/29/04

KENTUCKY WESTERN

KYW 5 04-75 Betty Jo McLeod, etc. v. N.V.E., Inc., et al. Opposed 6/29/04

LOUISIANA EASTERN

LAE 2 00-3753 Christopher Kitsos v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al.

MINNESOTA

MN

MN 0 03-5233 Sandra M. Waters v. Metabolife International, Inc.

0 03-5777 Rhea L. Mazola, etc., v. Metabolife International, Inc.

MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN

MSS 1 04-7 Tina S. Bush, etc. v. Trim International, Inc.

MSS 3 04-247 Regina Bynum v. NVE, Inc.

NEW JERSEY

NJ 3 03-5524 Jamie Fox v. Herbalife International of America, Inc., et al.

OKLAHOMA NORTHERN

OKN 4 03-518 George J. Wildman, et al. v. Herbalife International of America, Inc.

PENNSYLVANIA WESTERN

PAW 2 02-1461 Nancy Rhome v. Metabolife International, Inc. Opposed 6/29/04

TEXAS EASTERN

TXE 1 04-132 Peggy McGuire v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al.

TEXAS NORTHERN

TXN 3 03-1902 Joey R. Carter v. Muscle-Tech, Inc., et al. Opposed 6/29/04

TXN 3 03-2133 Billy Gene Goble, et al. v. Herbalife International Inc., et al.

SCHEDULE CTO-2-TAG ALONG ACTIONS (MDL-1598)

PAGE 2 of 2

TEXAS SOUTHERN

TXS 4 03-215 Alexander G. Hague, et al. v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al.

TXS 4 03-5395 Belinda Wiggins v. Metabolife International, Inc., et al.

TXS 4 03-5756 George Paul Barton v. Muscle-Tech, Inc., et al. Opposed 6/29/04

WEST VIRGINIA NORTHERN

WVN 1 03-135 Lynn Ann Matheny, etc. v. Body Dynamics, Inc., et al. Opposed 6/30/04

WVN 2 03-101 Kelly S. Coberly v. Cytodyne Technologies, Inc., et al.

WEST VIRGINIA SOUTHERN

WVS 2 03-65 Paul Cross, et al. v. Muscletech Research & Development, Inc., et al. Opposed 6/29/04

WVS 2 04-424 Michael Scott Gregory v. Rexall Sundown, Inc., et al.

WVS 3 02-944 Deborah A. Smoot, etc. v. AST Sports Science, Inc., et al.